becky.koch@ndsu.edu April 1, 2013 9:55 PM

To: <pamelmar@nmsu.edu>

Cc: <holly.young@unh.edu>, <becky.koch@ndsu.edu>

Reply-To: <becky.koch@ndsu.edu>

Your ACE C&A Critique

Dear Pamela.

Your ACE Critique and Award program entry "Demographica" (2013-0616) has been critiqued and is this year's bronze award winner in Class 39 - Best innovative use of communication technology. Congratulations!

We hope you'll be able to attend the ACE/NETC conference June 11-14 in Indianapolis to accept your award in person and to bring samples of your work or a display to share with others. Conference registration will be out soon, but learn more now at http://www.dce.k-state.edu/conf/ace-netc/.

Reviewer comments are below. Please contact me at becky.koch@ndsu.edu if you have questions. Thank you for submitting your work to ACE C&A.

Regards,

Holly Young, ACE Interim Executive Director, and Becky Koch, ACE President-elect

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

1. Judge:

Jake Dolan

2. Design:

Does this program demonstrate an appropriate level of planning and vision in its design and format, as stated in the entry's objectives?

Strongest aspects:

Weakest aspects:

Score: (15 possible)

Simple and elegant. Only weakness was that decrementing numbers was a bit difficult. 12

3. Content:

Does this program present its content to the target audience(s) in an easy-to-grasp and engaging method?

Strongest aspects:

Weakest aspects:

Score: (15 possible)

The content is simple and very straight forward. I would love to see some options to go more in depth. 10

4. Technical Quality:

Provide an overall rating for this program/product's technical quality, which can include images, sound, text, graphics, video, printed material.

Strongest aspects:

Weakest aspects:

Score: (15 possible)

Integration with email and csv was fantastic. 12

5. Use of medium:

Provide an overall rating for this entry's appropriate use of medium.

Strongest aspects:

Weakest aspects:

Score: (15 possible)

Perfect use. 15

6. Marketing/Promotion:

Did the entrant use appropriate techniques to market or promote availability of this program or product?

Strongest aspects: Weakest aspects: Score: (10 possible)

Good plan to distribute and it is available in appropriate channels. 8

7. Evaluation

Did the entrant use appropriate methods to evaluate this program, or does the entrant have appropriate methods outlined for a future evaluation?

Strongest aspects: Weakest aspects: Score: (15 possible)

Anecdotal evaluation with users happy. It would be nice to see more detailed numbers of users or time savings. 8

8. Effectiveness:

Was this program/product effective in achieving the entrant's stated goals, objectives, and needs?

Strongest aspects: Weakest aspects: Score: (15 possible)

This appears to be effective in capturing simple demographic information. 15

9. Total Score: (100 possible, no ties)

80

10. Award: (gold, silver, bronze (no ties) or honorable mention)

Bronze

11. Judge's Comments:

Great and innovative app!